



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/534,766	06/02/2006	Michael H. Bracey	TSRI 923.1	8883
2387	7590	12/16/2009	EXAMINER	
Olson & Cepuritis, LTD. 20 NORTH WACKER DRIVE 36TH FLOOR CHICAGO, IL 60606			STEADMAN, DAVID J	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
	1656			
MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
12/16/2009	PAPER			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/534,766	BRACEY ET AL.	
	Examiner David J. Steadman	Art Unit 1656	
All Participants:		Status of Application: <u>after final rejection</u>	
(1) <u>David J. Steadman</u> .		(3) _____.	
(2) <u>Robert J. Ross</u> .		(4) _____.	
Date of Interview: <u>11 December 2009</u>		Time: <u>approximately 11:30 AM</u>	
Type of Interview:			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)			
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No			
If Yes, provide a brief description: .			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed:			
1			
Prior art documents discussed:			
<i>Hanson</i>			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:			
<i>See Continuation Sheet</i>			
Part III.			
<input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)			

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A voice mail was left with Mr. Ross on 12/11/09. The examiner noted that the Declarations of Dunn and Gruenwald filed on 11/25/09 provide evidence that the Hanson reference was available as prior art as early as February 2003 and it would appear that the prior-filed provisional application 60/426,788, filed on 11/14/02, would need to be relied on to antedate the Hanson reference. The examiner noted that the limitations of claim 1 could not be located in the disclosure of the prior-filed provisional application and requested Mr. Ross's assistance in locating those limitations in order to expedite review of the application. As of 12/15/09, no response to the examiner's inquiry has been received.